From: Office

To: <u>Michelle Walsh-Doucette</u>; <u>Office</u>

Cc: SM Drummond

Subject: LA25001 Montgomery Cavendish Cottages Inc. v. Resort Municipality

Date: Friday, February 21, 2025 2:43:19 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Drummond.SunsetLane.SD application.21.10.2024.pdf

Good afternoon Ms. Walsh-Doucette,

Thank you for your recent correspondences of January 15th and February 11, 2025 in this matter. Again, I apologize for not having responded to the 1st letter but it ended up elsewhere than in our mailbox.

You have requested that we consider responding to the issue of jurisdiction dealing with whether or not service of the appeal was effected properly or in time. Your letter of January 14th as subsequently received indicates that the Commission received a Notice of Appeal on Friday January 10th 2025 on behalf of Montgomery Cavendish Cottages Inc against two decisions of the Resort Municipality.

You state: "it appears there may be a question about whether your appeal was filed within the within the statutory timeline prescribed by the Planning Act, RSPEI 1988, Cap P-8."

Further you note:

"Your notice of appeal was received January 10th 2025. Subsection 28 (1.3) of the Planning Act provides that a notice of appeal must be filed with the Commission within 21 days after the date of the decision being appealed."

You note further: "the approval decisions with respect to the subdivision applications are dated December 17th, 2024." You further state: "the matter of whether the notice of appeal was filed within the prescribed time line goes to the jurisdiction of the Commission, and as a statutory tribunal, the Commission does not have the jurisdiction to extend the time for filing an appeal under the Planning Act. You therefore requested, as a preliminary matter, that the Commission would request submissions from the appellant with respect to the limitation period and whether this notice of appeal was filed within the prescribed statutory timeline.

Subsequently, at our request, you extended the time for response to February 28th 2025. It is in respect of that comment and request that we are responding to you. We appreciate the extension.

At the outset we believe that it is important and relevant in all the circumstances to review the applications for subdivision which were presented by Mr. Stuart Drummond, copies of which are enclosed for your information.

The first application was tendered in respect of PID 563908 which had an existing land use of capital RD2. Section 3 of that application notes that the owner of the land being subdivided to be Stuart M Drummond of 59 Sunset lane, Cavendish and a specific e-mail address was stated there on as you will note from the attached. The second application is in respect of parcel 232868, again filed by Mr. Drummond with his phone number attached

but no e-mail address. We assumed that it was his intention that being a personal application and having used his particular e-mail address that the response from the Resort Municipality would as a matter of consequence be forwarded to him either by regular mail, or addressed to the e-mail address which is displayed on the front page of the application for permission to subdivide parcel 563908.

It would appear from the letters dated December 17th 2004 from Resort Municipality, notwithstanding that the application for subdivision was made by Mr. Drummond personally that the response from the municipality should have been addressed to him personally. In fact, the letters were addressed to Montgomery Cavendish Cottages Inc. We are advised that the letters were sent by e-mail to Mr. Drummond's company and to an old and little used email address and not to him directly as noted on the application as he sold the cottage business in January 2022 to Basking Bear Shore Investment Inc. and Montgomery Cavendish Cottages Inc. is inactive. A new company was set up. He does not use and has not used , in the ordinary course, the e-mail address that the decision letters were sent to. He gave a specific e-mail address in making his application and could reasonably have expected a response to them would have been sent to the e-mail address on the application for subdivision which, as we note, it was not. This is perplexing as well as problematic.

We are aware of the time limitation for an appeal is set forth in the Planning Act, section 28. Technically, a strict application of the limitation period would mean that the Notice of Appeal in respect of this matter should have been filed on or about January 8th 2025 in order to be within the timeframe set forth in the legislation. However, as noted, the notice of denial letters dated December 17th were sent to an address different from the address sent from the e-mail address that was specified on the application for subdivision. This then creates a bit of an oddity and a total non sequitur as the denials were sent to a party that did not apply for subdivision permission and to an address not specified in the application. Whether the statutory time limitation therefore should apply in such a situation begs for clarification. It surely could not be the responsibility of Mr. Drummond to expect to receive an e-mail to an address that he seldom uses. His applications were specific in giving phone numbers and a specific e-mail address. It would be illogical and absurd for the Commission or any appellate body to hold that he missed the limitation when it wasn't sent to the address he used to apply in the first place.

In our view, without arguing the law, it is incumbent upon the Resort Municipality to respond to applications for subdivisions or other matters directly to the person or parties and contact addresses listed on the application and not to an extraneous address. The decisions were directed to Montgomery Cavendish Cottages Inc whereas the applicant was Stuart M Drummond. It can hardly be said that the community responded to the applicant properly. It is therefore our position that the decision was not properly rendered or delivered and the time frame listed under the Planning Act should not apply to this situation.

It is beyond the scope of this e-mail to do other than raise the preliminary point on the jurisdiction of the Commission to hear an application which was responded to by the Resort Municipality to an address and a party other than the applicant and the e-mail address provided therein, rendering the decision, such as it was, a nullity and of no force or effect.

As this is only a preliminary measure we do not wish to argue the merits of the case but wish to provide information which indicates the applications and how they were made and

the decisions which were addressed to a party other than the applicant. As noted they were sent to a third party address that was not specified in the notice of application for subdivision.

We would be pleased to answer any questions the Commission has in respect of this matter and invite your comments at your early convenience. In addition, we look forward to seeing the response from the community in respect of our comments herein.

Yours very truly,

T. Daniel Tweel

From: Michelle Walsh-Doucette < mwalshdoucette@irac.pe.ca >

Sent: February 11, 2025 1:51 PM **To:** Office <office@tweellaw.ca>

Cc: Jessica Gillis < <u>igillis@irac.pe.ca</u>>; Philip Rafuse < <u>PJRafuse@irac.pe.ca</u>>

Subject: FW: LA25001 Montgomery Cavendish Cottages Inc. v. Resort Municipality

Good afternoon Mr. Tweel,

Can you please acknowledge receipt of the below email and attached correspondence.

Thank you.

Μ



Michelle Walsh-Doucette (she/her)

Commission Clerk
D. 902.368.7856
irac.pe.ca/about/contact/

From: Michelle Walsh-Doucette

Sent: Monday, February 10, 2025 11:20 AM **To:** 'office@tweellaw.ca' < office@tweellaw.ca'>

Cc: Jessica Gillis <<u>igillis@irac.pe.ca</u>>; Philip Rafuse <<u>PJRafuse@irac.pe.ca</u>>;

'resortmunicipal@eastlink.ca' < resortmunicipal@eastlink.ca >

Subject: RE: LA25001 Montgomery Cavendish Cottages Inc. v. Resort Municipality

Good morning Mr. Tweel,

Further correspondence of January 14, 2025, can you please advise whether you intend to provide submissions as requested? The Commission will expect these submissions not later than Friday February 14, 2025. The Municipality will then have until March 7, 2025, to respond.

Μ



Michelle Walsh-Doucette (she/her)

Commission Clerk
D. 902.368.7856
irac.pe.ca/about/contact/

From: Michelle Walsh-Doucette

Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2025 2:14 PM

To: 'office@tweellaw.ca' < <u>office@tweellaw.ca</u>>; 'resortmunicipal@eastlink.ca'

<resortmunicipal@eastlink.ca>

Cc: Jessica Gillis < <u>igillis@irac.pe.ca</u>>; Philip Rafuse < <u>PJRafuse@irac.pe.ca</u>>

Subject: LA25001 Montgomery Cavendish Cottages Inc. v. Resort Municipality

Good afternoon,

Please see attached correspondence with respect to this matter.

M.



Michelle Walsh-Doucette (she/her)

Commission Clerk
D. 902.368.7856
irac.pe.ca/about/contact/

This electronic transmission, including any accompanying attachments, may contain information that is confidential, privileged and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law, and is intended only for the recipient(s) named above. Disclosure to anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute waiver of privilege.

Any distribution, review, dissemination or copying of the contents of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient(s) is strictly prohibited.

If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email and permanently delete the copy you have received.

Cette transmission électronique, y compris toute pièce jointe, peut contenir des renseignements confidentiels et privilégiés qui ne doivent pas être divulgués en vertu des lois applicables et n'est destinée qu'à la personne nommée cidessus. La divulgation à quiconque autre que le destinataire prévu ne constitue pas une renonciation aux privilèges. Toute distribution, révision, diffusion ou reproduction du contenu par quiconque sauf le destinataire prévu est strictement interdite. Si vous avez reçu la présente communication par erreur, veuillez en informer l'expéditeur immédiatement par courriel et supprimer de façon permanente la copie que vous avez reçue.



7591 Cawnpore Lane Cavendish, PE C0A 1N0 Phone (902) 963-2698 Fax (902) 963-2932 E-mail- resortmunicipal@eastlink.ca

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO SUBDIVIDE LAND

Section 1- Address of land to be subdivided
Street/Road: Sunset Lane
Property Tax Number: PIN # 232868
Section 2: Land Uses Existing Land Use: Proposed Land Use(Please specify type of building i.e. single family dwelling, condominium dwelling, summer cottage, tourist accommodation facility, store, tourist attraction, etc.)
Number of lots proposed: 3
Section 3- Present owner of land being subdivided:
Name: Stuart W. Drummand
Mailing Address: 59 Sunset Lane Casendish.
Postal Code: <u>COA 1WO</u> Tel: <u>902 218 4636</u>
Section 4- Name and address of provincial land surveyor who certified the plan: (If not yet surveyed, please print "PRELIMINARY" in the Name Space.)
Name: Preliminary
Mailing Address:
Postal CodeTel:
Section 5- Correspondence in connection with this application should be addressed to:
Name: Strant W. Dimmond.
Mailing Address: 59 Sunset Lane
Postal Code: COA 1 NO Tel: 902 218 4636
Owner(s) Signature 21 0A 2014 Date
Owner(s) Signature Date Dec 17/24 CA



Resort Municipality 7591 Cawnpore Lane, R.R. # 2 Hunter River, PE, C0A 1N0 Phone: (902) 963-2698

Fax: (902) 963-2932 resortmunicipal@eastlink.ca

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO SUBDIVIDED LAND

SECTION 1: Address of land to be subdivided:
Street/Road: Sunset Lane
Property Tax Number: # 563908
SECTION 2: Land Uses:
Existing Land Use: RN 2
Proposed Land Use (Please specify type of building i.e. single family dwelling, condominium dwelling, summer cottage, tourist accommodation facility, store, tourist attraction, etc.)
Single Family Symmer cottage, Tourist Accomadation
Number of lots proposed:
SECTION 3: Present owner of land being subdivided:
Name: Stuart W. Drummond
Mailing Address: 59 Sunset Lane, Cavendish.
Email: 3rcreng@gmail.com
Postal Code: COA 1NO Tel: 902 218 4686
SECTION 4: Name and address of provincial land surveyor who certified the plan:
(If not yet surveyed, please print "PRELIMINARY" in the Name Space.)
Name: Pretiminary.
Mailing Address:
Email:
Postal CodeTel:
Denied Dec 17/24 Bre Donald CAO