From:	Randy Pitre
To:	Jessica Gillis; Philip Rafuse; Janine MacLean
Cc:	Gordon MacFarlane; derek.key@keymurraylaw.com; Randy Pitre; nkember@strategicenterprises.ca; lain.mccarvill@keymurraylaw.com
Subject:	Response to Lain November 15th, 2023 Letter to Commission attached.
Date:	Thursday, November 16, 2023 1:24:19 PM
Attachments:	<u>20231116111314471.pdf</u>

Good Afternoon. This is In response to Lain m. Mccarvill letter yesterday dated **November 15**, 2023, and I will still be filing a Rebuttal as indicated next week. I provide the following in response to his November 15th latter. Lain Mccarvill is still proceeding and filing Documents and CC Derek Key on the Matter without having addressed the initial step of Confirming Derek Keys Role in this matter. There is a reason as to why Lain Mccarvill is refusing to answer and address this question and it's the Appellants belief that Derek Key is in a conflict of interest as it relates to the Applications Nathan Kember & his Companies made that were before the City of Summerside that are subject of the Appeals. The Commission needs to "**Confirm" Derek** Keys Role Presently in this regard as it does have a **<u>direct bearing</u>** on the files and appears Derek Key may have a vested interest and may very well indicate why Derek_Key is presently being CC on matters. This needs to be addressed and I am asking Jessica Gillis and or Phillip Rafuse to Request from Lain Mccarvill / Key Murray Law and or Derek Key to "confirm & define" what exactly "Derek Key involvement or Representation in this matter is at this time" in writing. Please send me a copy of the Letter of Request and **response**. Lain McCarvill at the last sentence of his November 15 letter asked the commission to not consider Mr. Kembers/Andrew Campbells of Cox & Palmer June 5th, 2023, withdrawal of the appeal. The Appellant's position is that the June 5th withdrawal of the Appeal is **prima facia evidence** that **must be considered** and **cannot be separated** as it is directly related and inner-twined in the events leading up to and after involving the same related property PID #, same individuals, same permits and same matter. Lain McCarville cannot "Cherry Pick" evidence that he feels is damming to his clients and Derek key within his response/reply before the commission to gain a "**tactual advantage**". The City had been maintaining throughout that the decision of June 5th, 2023, to deny had been Revoked and **Rescinded** that was subject of the Appeal of **June 5th**, **2023.** The fact of the matter is that the Matter was actually "Withdrawn" as "confirmed" not only by Mr. McCarville in his last paragraph of his November 15, 2023, Letter but also evidence Appellants have obtained throughout the file. Another reason the Appellant suspects Mr. McCarville wants the Notice of Appeal of June 5th, 2023, that was <u>filed</u> and <u>signed</u> by Andrew Campbell on behalf of his Client Nathan Kember and Strategic Holdings Inc not to be considered, is that it would be" Completely Contrary " and in total "Contravention" and flies in the face of Mr. McCarvill present position that he is claiming before the commission in that the Decision Cannot be **appealed citing jurisdictional issues.** Andrew Cambell certainly thought differently. Appellants furthermore submit that Andrew Campbells, upon filing the Appeal on June 5th, **2023**, only Appealed the decisions of **<u>Strategic Holdings Inc.</u>** He did not list or Appeal the decisions of "Strategic Enterprises Inc" which was the second name on "two of the

Applications" that had been considered by counsel and Denied. As a result, when Andrew Campbell withdrew his Appeal on June 5th, 2023, he only withdrew the permits as it related to one of the two companies that were considered and denied. As a result, the June 5th, 2023, and only one of the two Names withdrawn, the Appeal must be considered in the Consolidation of the Appeals.

Kind Regards

Randy Pitre