## **Jessica Gillis**

From: Jessica Gillis

Sent: Thursday, August 28, 2025 2:23 PM

**To:** 'Curtis Doyle' <cdoyle@stewartmckelvey.com>; 'contact@carvogroup.com' <contact@carvogroup.com> **Cc:** David Mol <davidmol@live.com>; 'skelly@campbelllea.com' <skelly@campbelllea.com>; 'andrew frizzell'

<fr andrew1919@hotmail.com>; Philip Rafuse <PJRafuse@irac.pe.ca>

Subject: RE: LA24011 Gezinus Vos, David and Eve Mol, Dean and Anne MacQuarrie v. Rural Municipality of Miltonvale

Park

Good afternoon, all.

I write further to the correspondence from counsel for the Municipality regarding Bylaw #2025R – A Bylaw to Repeal Bylaw #2024-14-A02, passed by the Municipality last month. That Bylaw is attached. In light of this correspondence, the Commission is seeking clarification from the parties.

Mr. Doyle, are we correct in the understanding that, to date, only Bylaw #2024-14-A02 has been repealed?

**To the Appellants,** given that the bylaw amendment that was the subject of this appeal has been rescinded do the Appellants have an interest in continuing with this appeal? In the event you do, what is your position on the matter of the Commission's jurisdiction to hear an appeal of only an official plan amendment?

For your assistance, I draw your attention to a previous Order of the Commission: Order LA04-01 - Mark Brown v. City of Charlottetown (June 7, 2004). In that decision, the Commission commented generally that it can only examine amendments to an Official Plan within the context of an appeal of a bylaw amendment.

We would appreciate hearing from you by September 15, 2025. If that timeline poses challenges, please let us know and we can consider an extension.

Thank you, Jessica



Jessica M. Gillis (she/her) General Counsel D. 902.368.7860 irac.pe.ca/about/contact/