

**From:** [Brian Tredenick](#)  
**To:** [Electricity Inquiries](#)  
**Subject:** ME Application for On Island capacity increase  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 6, 2025 4:46:03 PM

---

Dear Cheryl Bradley

I have read Maritime Electrics submission including the extensive Sargent and Lundy (S&L) cost analysis on multiple solutions to our electricity supply on PEI.. In summary,

1)ME has commitments to the Northeastern Power Energy grid standards for distribution.

2)Specifically based on the past Feb 2023 occurrence over a 24 hour period; demand outstripped supply. From the S&L report ME has decided what is best for Islanders-

A path of continued fossil powered electrical generation; contrary to Islanders commitment to reduce our carbon emissions.

What is not being addressed is the

a) interconnect of 300 MW

b)replacing the undersea cables installed in1977 (50 year life span)

c)applications of solar (total 204 MW) awaiting approval to join grid..

d)The Maritime link which can provide additional power if not inhibited by a) and b)

I do support the 10 MW/40 BESS x2. with increasing investment in solar,wind energy and battery storage.

This project as submitted is half a Billion dollars; the energy to generate the power is fossil fuel which cost is permanent yet unknown, in conclusion not good investment for Islanders.

The solution is to invest in solar, wind and battery storage. The energy required to create the electrical power is.. "FREE"

In conclusion I do not support this project UE20742 submitted by ME for your consideration.

regards

Brian Tredenick Miscouche