

**From:** Roger King

**Sent:** Sunday, January 21, 2024 11:54 AM

**To:** Crockett, Gloria

**Subject:** Application to Collect Operating and Capital Costs Related to Hurricane Fiona – UE21505.

Good Morning Gloria: Thank you for the interrogatories responses received last Friday afternoon. There are three responses, however, for which I would appreciate further explanation:

IR-6: The response here does not clarify how the two constituents of capital cost - \$4.5M retirement and \$14.8M capital were determined. What would be the maximum proportion that could be allocated to “retirement”?

IR-9: It is not clear how the balance sheet entries are separated from the Rate Base and where the deferred Hurricane Fiona debt is currently held? My understanding is that the Rate Base defines the sub-set of balance sheet components comprising the MECL Power system investment, to which the Electric Power Act, sections 12 and 21, refers. Further my understanding of IRAC Order UE22-08 is that the Hurricane Fiona debt is currently held as an accounts receivable / non-regulatory asset which is funded as a “Current Liability” and not included as part of the Rate Base. This is the background reasoning that prompted my original questioning of the recent changes to the equity component and shareholders dividend. Could you provide the details please?

IR-16: The Application refers to two letters (Appendix B) sent to Premier King – February 6<sup>th</sup> and May 1<sup>st</sup>. Only the February 6<sup>th</sup> letter is mentioned in the chronology response but a subsequent letter sent on August 22<sup>nd</sup> is now introduced. Were updates on possible debt funding alternatives provided during the three meetings with the PEI Energy Corporation and was a response to the August 22<sup>nd</sup> letter to Premier King received? A copy of this August 22<sup>nd</sup> letter to Premier King would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Roger King