

**February 8<sup>th</sup>, 2023**

**TO: Phillip J. Rafuse  
Appeals Administrator  
Corporate Services and Appeals Division**

**Hello Mr. Rafuse,**

**I've read over Mr. O'Shea's latest response to the Island Regulatory and Appeals Commission in regards to this appeal and I fully agree with the information he has supplied you. All information that was submitted by myself to the Minister for proper permit approvals is taken from the original community boundary / property boundary lines and are in full compliance with the setback regulations as required. I would also like to point out that the appellants (Squires) have not now nor ever owned property in the community of Lake Verde, deeded or otherwise.**

**Another item I believe that has not been brought to your attention is that on the morning of November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2022 Constable Honkoop along with a fellow officer of the Kings District RCMP had a lengthy conversation at the Squires residence with the appellants (Squires) informing them once again of the proper procedure they must take in resolving a boundary line dispute. (This is after already being informed by the Ministers office as well as the Island Regulatory and Appeals Commission office.) I have also spoken to the RCMP as well as my lawyers who both informed me on the procedure that the appellants (Squires) must do if they wish to proceed. First of all they (Squires) have two conflicting surveys, neither of which use the original boundary lines and also show extreme differences between the two so therefore must be brought before the Supreme Court of Prince Edward Island to be judged upon. After a ruling is made on these surveys (Bernard Survey 2018, Morris Survey 2022) the Squires would then have to return again to the Supreme Court of Prince Edward Island to contest the original boundaries with their 1 survey.**

**I know after reading the many letters from IRAC as well as Mr. O'Shea's responses in this appeal that the Commission does not have jurisdiction to rule on boundary disputes but I just wanted to supply you with the above mentioned information to solidify the fact that this is still the appellants one and only issue here as they originally stated "Boundary line is in dispute".**

**I hope this information further satisfies the Commission along with the Ministers office response.**

**Regards,**

**Anthony McQuillan**